ALKALOIDS OF Aconitum tuberosum. STRUCTURE OF TUBERACONITINE AND TUBERMESACONITINE

Z. S. Boronova² and M. N. Sultankhodzhaev¹

UDC 547.944/945

Total alkaloids of Aconitum tuberosum roots afforded neoline and two new alkaloids, tuberaconitine and tubermesaconitine. The structures of the new alkaloids were proved based on spectral data and chemical transformations from aconitine and mesaconitine.

Key words: Aconitum tuberosum, new diterpenoid alkaloids, tuberaconitine, tubermesaconitine.

Roots of *Aconitum tuberosum* Host. collected in Yugoslavia afforded the known alkaloids mesaconitine, aconitine, flaconitine and the new alkaloid tuberanine [1]. In continuation of the study of alkaloids of this plant, we isolated the known alkaloid neoline and two new alkaloids, tuberaconitine (1) and tubermesaconitine (2).

Tuberaconitine (1) is an amorphous base of composition $C_{35}H_{49}NO_{10}$. Its IR spectrum contains absorption bands of hydroxyl, ester, ether, and aromatic ring. The PMR of 1 exhibits signals for N-ethyl, two tertiary C-methyls, four methoxyls, a monosubstituted aromatic ring, and several methine protons with a geminal O substituent. Spectral data of the alkaloid and aconitine are consistent with similar structures. Thus, a 1H doublet at 3.37 ppm (J = 5 Hz) belongs to H-16 α and indicates that a C-16 β -methoxyl is present. A 2H doublet with splitting constant 9 Hz for methylene protons of C-18 geminal to the methoxyl is observed at 3.51 ppm. The signal for H-3 β of the geminal hydroxyl is observed at 3.68 ppm (1H, dd, J₁ = 10 Hz, J₂ = 7 Hz). The hydroxyl on C-13 resonates at 3.75 ppm (1H, br.s). Atom C-6 contains an α -methoxyl according to the signal of the proton geminal to it at 3.99 ppm (1H, d, J = 6.5 Hz). Signals of H-15 β (4.40 ppm, 1H, dd, J₁ = 5.5 Hz, J₂ = 2.5 Hz) and H-14 β (4.82 ppm, 1H, d, J = 5 Hz) geminal to the benzoyloxy are also present. The structures of aconitine (3) and tuberaconitine (1) are different; 1 contains an acetonide instead of the acetoxyl in 3. This conclusion was reached by comparing the structural formulas and was confirmed by the presence of protons for two tertiary C-methyls in 1. The chemical shift and multiplicity of the H-15 β signal indicates that the acetonide occupies the 8,15-positions and has the α -orientation in the 15-position.



The mass spectrum of 1 confirms the above data. Strong peaks for $[M - 58]^+$ and $[M - 74]^+$ appear after loss of acetone (1a) and acetonide (1b) from the 8,15-acetonide (Scheme 1). Further fragmentation is due to loss of methoxyl from C-1 (1c and 1d). A parallel pathway of mass-spectrometric decomposition is loss of methoxyl from C-1 (1e) and water from the C-3 hydroxyl (1f).

¹⁾ S. Yu. Yunusov Institute of the Chemistry of Plant Substances, Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, fax (99871) 120 64 75; 2) Institute of Medical Problems, Southern Division, National Academy of Sciences, Kyrgyzstan, Osh. Translated from Khimiya Prirodnykh Soedinenii, No. 3, pp. 228-230, May-June, 2001. Original article submitted May 21, 2001.



Scheme 1. Principal mass-spectrometric fragmentation pathways of tuberaconitine (1) and tubermesaconitine (2) (relative peak heights are given in parentheses).

The data indicate that tuberaconitine has structure 1. We confirmed this by transforming aconitine (3) into tuberaconitine. The literature method [2] was used to prepare benzoylaconine (5) from 3.

Benzoylaconine reacts with acetone in the presence of an acid catalyst to give the acetonide, which is identical to 1. Tubermesaconitine (2) is an amorphous base of composition C₃₄H₄₇NO₁₀. The IR spectrum of 2 exhibits absorption bands for hydroxyl, ester, ether, and aromatic ring. According to the PMR spectrum the alkaloid contains two tertiary C-methyls, N-methyl, four methoxyls, and a monosubstituted benzene ring. Comparision of the empirical formulas and functional groups of 2 and 1 shows that the alkaloids differ in the nature of the N substituent. An N-methyl occurs in 2 whereas 1 has N-ethyl. Signals for methine protons in the PMR spectrum of 2 indicate that the remaining substituents in the two alkaloids have identical locations and stereochemistry. The presence of the *α*-benzoyloxy group on C-14 is confirmed by the signal for the gembenzoyloxy proton H-14β (4.83 ppm, d, J = 5 Hz). The signal for H-15β appears at 4.45 ppm (1H, dd, J₁ = 5.5 Hz, J₂ = 2.5 Hz) and indicates that the acetonide bonded to C-15 has the *α*-configuration. The signal for the C-13 hydroxy proton appears at 3.87 ppm as a broad singlet. The signal for H-6β, geminal to a methoxyl, is observed at 4.00 ppm (d, J = 6.5 Hz).

The mass-spectrometric fragmentation of 2 (Scheme 1) is consistent with structure 2 and is very analogous to that of 1.

The proposed structure for 2 was confirmed by correlation with mesaconitine (4). Boiling 4 in dioxane—water gave

benzoylmesaconine (6), which forms a product with TLC behavior and an IR spectrum identical to those of 2 upon storage in acetone with acid present.

EXPERIMENTAL

PMR spectra were obtained on a Tesla BS-567A (100 MHz) instrument with HMDS (= 0) internal standard ($CDCl_3$ solvent).

Mass spectra were measured in an MX-1310 spectrometer with direct sample introduction into the ion source. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin—Elmer System 2000 Fourier IR spectrometer in KBr pellets.

Column chromatography used KSK silica gel and deactivated aluminum oxide. The purity of the compounds was monitored on silica-gel plates using $CHCl_3$ — CH_3OH (10:1 and 50:1) (1) and ethylacetate—ether (1:1 and 4:3) (2).

Separation of Total Alkaloids. A portion of the total alkaloids from the $CHCl_3$ extract (1 g) [1] was dissolved in H_2SO_4 (5%), washed three times with $CHCl_3$, basicified with $NaHCO_3$, extracted with ether (250 mL), basicified with Na_2CO_3 until basic, and exhaustively extracted with $CHCl_3$. The solvent was evaporated. The mixture of alkaloids (0.43 g) was chromatographed over a silica-gel column. Elution by $CHCl_3$ — CH_3OH (100:1) afforded mesaconitine (0.06 g) [3a]; by $CHCl_3$ — CH_3OH (40:1), tubermesaconitine (0.04 g) and tuberaconitine (0.05 g); by $CHCl_3$ — CH_3OH (25:1), neoline (0.07 g) [3b].

Tuberaconitine (1). IR spectrum (v, cm⁻¹): 3530, 2980, 2830, 1720, 1615, 1380, 1245, 1200, 1140, 1100, 1035, 980, 845.

PMR spectrum (δ, ppm, J/Hz): 1.05 (3H, t, J = 6, N–CH₂CH₃), 1.20 (3H, s, C–CH₃), 1.36 (3H, s, C–CH₃), 3.12, 3.23, 3.25, 3.70 (3H each, s, 4×OCH₃), 3.37 (1H, d, J = 5, H-16*α*), 3.51 (2H, d, J = 9, 2H-18), 3.68 (1H, dd, J₁ = 10, J₂ = 7, H-3*β*), 3.75 (1H, br.s, 13-OH), 3.99 (1H, d, J = 6.5, H-6*β*), 4.40 (1H, dd, J₁ = 5.5, J₂ = 2.5, H-15*β*), 4.82 (1H, d, J = 5, H-14*β*), 7.50 and 7.97 (5H, m, Ar-H).

Correlation of 3 and 1. A solution of **5** (0.07 g) prepared from **3** by the literature method [2] in acetone (10 mL) was treated with $HClO_4$ (0.2 mL) and held at room temperature for 60 h. Acetone was removed. The solid was dissolved in water, basicified with Na_2CO_3 , and extracted with $CHCl_3$. The solvent was removed. The solid was purified over a column of deactivated aluminum oxide with elution by a mixture of ethylacetate—methanol (25:1) to give a product (0.03 g) that was identical to tuberaconitine according to TLC and IR spectrum.

Tubermesaconitine (2). IR spectrum (v, cm⁻¹): 3490, 2930, 2855, 1710, 1590, 1430, 1385, 1310, 1255, 1245, 1130, 1110, 1055, 975, 955, 830.

PMR spectrum (δ, ppm, J/Hz): 1.20 and 1.36 (3H each, s, 2×C–CH₃), 2.30 (3H, s, N–CH₃), 3.11, 3.24, 3.25, 3.67 (3H each, s, 4×OCH₃), 3.55 (2H, d, J = 7, 2H-18), 4.00 (1H, d, J = 6.5, H-6 β), 4.45 (1H, dd, J₁ = 5.5, J₂ = 2.5, H-15 β), 4.83 (1H, d, J = 5, H-14 β), 7.50 and 8.00 (5H, m, Ar-H).

Mass spectral data are shown in Scheme 1.

Correlation of 4 and 2. A solution of **6** (0.1 g) prepared from **4** by the literature method [2] in acetone (15 mL) was treated with $HClO_4$ (0.3 mL) and left at room temperature for 72 h. Solvent was removed. The solid was dissolved in water, basicified with Na_2CO_3 , and extracted with $CHCl_3$. The solvent was removed. The solid was purified over a column of deactivated aluminum oxide with elution by a mixture of ethylacetate—methanol (30:1) to give **2** (0.025 g).

REFERENCES

- 1. M. N. Sultankhodzhaev and Z. S. Boronova, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 226 (1999).
- 2. S. A. Ross and S. W. Pelletier, *Heterocycles*, **32**, No. 7, 1307 (1991).
- R. Sh. Shakirov, M. V. Telezhenetskaya, I. A. Bessonova, S. F. Aripova, I. A. Israilov, M. N. Sultankhodzhaev, V. I. Vinogradova, V. I. Akhmedzhanova, T. S. Tulyaganov, B. T. Salimov, and V. A. Tel'nov, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 643 (a) and 670 (b) (1996).